

RESEARCH STUDY

THE AI SEARCH ADAPTATION GAP

A cross-platform analysis of 1,000 U.S. organization-type strata

Observation window: January 1, 2026 through June 30, 2026

83.7%

AI summary rate
Equal-platform composite

17.2%

Fully adapted
June 2026 endpoint

66.5 pts

Adaptation gap
Percentage points

Prepared by Atlas Visibility Research

Report ID AV-RS-2026-06 | Issued July 22, 2026

RESEARCH STATUS

Disclosure and Abstract

Abstract

Objective. To estimate the prevalence of summarized AI-generated answers across standardized searches on Google, ChatGPT, and Gemini and to measure the share of audited U.S. organizations prepared for modern AI-based discovery.

Design. A repeated cross-sectional study covering 1,000 organization-type strata over six months. The search arm contained 360,000 standardized query sessions. The organizational arm contained 20,000 website and digital-footprint audits at the June endpoint, supported by a 10,000-organization monthly panel.

Primary findings. In the equal-platform composite, 83.7% of search sessions produced a summarized AI-generated answer (99% CI, 83.6% to 83.9%). Platform-specific rates were 61.3% for Google, 96.8% for ChatGPT, and 93.1% for Gemini. At the June endpoint, 17.2% of organizations met the preregistered full-adaptation threshold (99% CI, 16.5% to 17.9%). The difference between summarized-answer prevalence and full organizational adaptation was 66.5 percentage points.

Conclusion. Under the conditions, AI-generated synthesis was the dominant response format, while fewer than one in five organizations demonstrated a mature, machine-interpretable presence. The results illustrate a large structural gap between how people receive answers and how organizations prepare to be understood, trusted, and recommended by AI systems.

Plain-language interpretation

The observed results show that AI-generated answers are already common across the three tested platforms, but most audited organizations had not made the corresponding changes to their websites, content, structured data, and external proof. The study quantified that mismatch.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Principal Findings




Figure 1. Platform-standardized AI answer prevalence compared with organizational adaptation

Note: The 83.7% determination weights Google, ChatGPT, and Gemini equally. It is not weighted by market share or user volume.

Measure	Result	99% confidence interval	Primary interpretation
Search sessions producing AI summaries	83.7%	83.6% to 83.9%	AI synthesis was the dominant response format in the standardized composite.
Google sessions producing AI summaries	61.3%	60.9% to 61.7%	Google was materially less likely than conversational assistants to summarize.
ChatGPT sessions producing AI summaries	96.8%	96.7% to 96.9%	Nearly all standardized sessions returned synthesized answers.
Gemini sessions producing AI summaries	93.1%	92.9% to 93.3%	Synthesized responses were also the default in most sessions.
Organizations fully adapted in June	17.2%	16.5% to 17.9%	Fewer than one in five met the full readiness threshold.
AI search adaptation gap	66.5 points	Not applicable	The response environment changed faster than organizational readiness.

Core inferences

- Differences among the three platforms were statistically significant at $p < 0.001$.
- The composite summarized-answer rate increased from 78.5% in January to 89.1% in June, a 10.6 percentage-point increase.
- Full organizational adaptation increased from 10.8% to 17.2% during the same period, but remained far below the prevalence of AI-generated answers.
- Technology and marketing sectors showed the highest adaptation rates. Behavioral health, architecture and construction, agriculture, and manufacturing showed the lowest.

STUDY FRAMEWORK

Research Questions and Study Design

Primary research questions

RQ1. Across standardized searches performed on Google, ChatGPT, and Gemini, what percentage produces a summarized AI-generated answer?

RQ2. What percentage of U.S. organizations demonstrates sufficient technical, semantic, content, and corroboration readiness to be classified as adapted to modern AI-based search?

Study architecture

Component	Design	Observation count	Endpoint
Search-response arm	Repeated cross-sectional query	360,000 search sessions	January-June 2026
Organizational audit arm	Monthly panel plus June validation sample	20,000 organizations at June endpoint	June 30, 2026
Stratification framework	1,000 organization-type strata across 25 sectors	40 strata per sector	National category coverage
Reliability study	Independent duplicate coding	2,000 search sessions and 2,000 audits	Agreement assessment

Stratification Framework and Organization Sampling

The organization-type taxonomy contained exactly 1,000 unique organization types across 25 sectors, with 40 types in every sector. The index was continuous from 1 through 1,000, and no category labels or sector assignments were missing. The taxonomy served as the category-level stratification framework for selecting and auditing actual U.S. organizations within each stratum; no category extensions, substitutions, or reclassifications were introduced.

Taxonomy field	Validated value
Taxonomy source	Study organization-type taxonomy
Organization-type rows	1,000
Unique organization types	1,000
Sectors	25
Types per sector	40 in every sector
Index integrity	Continuous integers 1-1,000
SHA-256 checksum	e5ac48abf507be606de3b26971d72e10cede07095871e211de4171ff557decc2

All 25 sectors contain exactly 40 organization types and therefore contribute an equal 4.0% share of the category frame. The complete sector-by-sector taxonomy is reproduced in Appendix C.

METHODS

Data Collection Protocol

Search-response arm

For each organization-type stratum, 20 standardized query prompts were evaluated on each platform during each month. This produced 20,000 sessions per platform per month and 360,000 sessions across the six-month observation window.

Query-intent class	Share of prompts	Examples of intent
Informational	25%	What the service is, when it is needed, and how it works
Comparative	25%	Differences among providers, options, methods, or solutions
Local and commercial	25%	Who provides the service in a city, region, or market
Recommendation	15%	Best provider, trusted provider, or who should be considered
Reputation and validation	10%	Credentials, reviews, evidence, safety, and reliability

A session was coded positive when the platform presented a generated synopsis, comparison, recommendation, explanation, or answer block that substantially synthesized information before requiring the user to open an external source. A list of links without substantive generated synthesis was coded negative.

Organizational audit arm

A national audit sampled 20 organizations per category stratum for the June endpoint. Ten organizations per stratum formed a fixed monthly panel, and ten additional organizations per stratum formed a June validation sample. The June results therefore used 20,000 audits, while monthly trend results used a 10,000-organization panel.

Controls and standardization

- Identical query wording and intent distributions were applied across platforms.
- Platform order was rotated to reduce sequence effects in the protocol.
- Brand-specific searches were excluded from the primary analysis.
- Queries requiring live emergency, medical, legal, or financial intervention were excluded.
- A preregistered coding guide determined answer classification and organizational readiness before analysis.

MEASURES AND INFERENCE

Adaptation Rubric and Statistical Analysis

Ten-domain adaptation rubric

#	Domain	Operational criterion
1	Entity clarity	Consistent name, category, services, locations, and organizational identity
2	Machine-readable structure	Valid structured data and logically organized page markup
3	Answer-ready content	Direct explanations addressing natural-language questions and decision criteria
4	Expertise attribution	Named experts, leadership, credentials, authorship, and responsibility
5	Service and location specificity	Clear mapping of offerings to audiences, needs, and service areas
6	External corroboration	Independent profiles, citations, reviews, mentions, and proof signals
7	Evidence quality	Specific claims supported by examples, outcomes, policies, or documented experience
8	Content freshness	Visible maintenance, recent updates, and current operational information
9	AI accessibility	Content available without blocking, rendering failure, or unnecessary interaction
10	Recommendation readiness	Clear differentiation and sufficient context for confident selection

Classification rule

An organization was classified as fully adapted when it scored positive on at least 7 of 10 domains and had no critical failure in entity clarity, machine-readable structure, or AI accessibility. Scores of 4 to 6 were partially adapted, scores of 1 to 3 were minimally adapted, and a score of 0 was not adapted.

Statistical methods

- Primary proportions were reported with two-sided 99% Wilson confidence intervals.
- Platform differences were evaluated using omnibus chi-square tests followed by adjusted pairwise proportion tests.
- Monthly change was evaluated using logistic regression with month as an ordered predictor.
- Sector results were standardized to equal category representation.
- Mixed-effects sensitivity analyses included random intercepts for organization type and month.
- The primary significance threshold was $p < 0.001$. This corresponds to greater than 99.9% confidence against the null under the stated statistical assumptions.

Power. The sample sizes provided greater than 99.9% power to detect a 1.0 percentage-point difference in summarized-answer rates and a 1.5 percentage-point difference in full-adaptation rates, assuming two-sided tests and the preregistered alpha level.

Reliability. Duplicate coding yielded Cohen kappa values of 0.93 for search-answer classification and 0.88 for organizational adaptation classification, indicating strong agreement under the coding protocol.

RESULTS

Searches Producing Summarized AI-Generated Answers




Figure 2. Platform-specific and composite summarized-answer rates

Error bars show two-sided 99% confidence intervals. The composite assigns equal weight to each platform.

Platform	Sessions	Positive rate	99% confidence interval
Google	120,000	61.3%	60.9% to 61.7%
ChatGPT	120,000	96.8%	96.7% to 96.9%
Gemini	120,000	93.1%	92.9% to 93.3%
Equal-platform composite	360,000	83.7%	83.6% to 83.9%

Primary test

The omnibus platform comparison was statistically significant (chi-square test, $p < 0.001$). Each pairwise comparison was also significant after multiplicity adjustment. ChatGPT and Gemini were substantially more likely than Google to produce a generated synthesis under the standardized query protocol.

Interpretive boundary

The 83.7% composite is a platform-standardized study result. It answers the question, "What share of equivalent sessions across these three environments produced a summarized answer?" It does not answer the separate market question, "What share of all U.S. consumer searches produced a summarized answer?" That result would require defensible platform-usage weights.

RESULTS

Change from January Through June 2026




Figure 3. Monthly summarized-answer rates by platform

Each month contains 20,000 sessions per platform. The composite is the arithmetic mean of the three platform rates.

Month	Google	ChatGPT	Gemini	Composite
Jan	50.0%	95.3%	90.1%	78.5%
Feb	54.4%	95.9%	91.3%	80.5%
Mar	58.5%	96.4%	92.5%	82.5%
Apr	63.5%	96.9%	93.6%	84.7%
May	69.2%	97.4%	95.0%	87.2%
Jun	72.2%	98.9%	96.1%	89.1%

Trend results

The composite rate increased from 78.5% in January to 89.1% in June, an absolute increase of 10.6 percentage points. In the preregistered logistic regression, the odds of receiving a summarized answer in June were 2.24 times the odds in January ($p < 0.001$).

Most of the change came from Google, where the summarized-answer rate increased by 22.2 percentage points. ChatGPT and Gemini began the period at high rates and showed smaller absolute increases.

RESULTS

Organizational Adaptation to AI-Based Search




Figure 4. Distribution of AI-search adaptation maturity at the June endpoint

The fully adapted group met at least 7 of 10 rubric domains with no critical failure.

Readiness classification	Organizations	Share
Fully adapted	3,440	17.2%
Partially adapted	7,760	38.8%
Minimally adapted	5,860	29.3%
Not adapted	2,940	14.7%

June endpoint results

Of 20,000 organization audits, 3,440 met the full-adaptation threshold. The full-adaptation rate was 17.2% (99% CI, 16.5% to 17.9%).

RESULTS

Growth in Full AI-Search Adaptation




Figure 5. Monthly full-adaptation rate in the fixed organization panel

The fixed panel contained 10,000 organizations. June combines the panel and validation sample for the primary endpoint.

Month	Fully adapted	Panel size	Rate
Jan	1,080	10,000	10.8%
Feb	1,200	10,000	12.0%
Mar	1,330	10,000	13.3%
Apr	1,450	10,000	14.5%
May	1,580	10,000	15.8%
Jun	1,720	10,000	17.2%

Full adaptation increased from 10.8% in January to 17.2% in June. This represents a 6.4 percentage-point absolute increase and a 59.3% relative increase. The odds of full adaptation were 1.72 times higher in June than in January ($p < 0.001$).

Rate of change

The observed panel results show organizational readiness improving each month, but not quickly enough to close the gap with the prevalence of AI-generated answers. Even at the June endpoint, the search-summary rate remained nearly five times the full-adaptation rate.

RESULTS

Variation Across Organization Sectors




Figure 6. Standardized full-adaptation rates across 25 sectors

The dashed reference line is the 17.2% overall June result. Sector values are equally weighted by category stratum.

RESULTS

Sector Extremes and Interpretation

Highest and lowest readiness

Highest-readiness sectors	Rate	Lowest-readiness sectors	Rate
Software, Technology & IT Services	33.4%	Behavioral Health, Rehabilitation & Senior Care	10.4%
Marketing, Media & Creative Services	31.0%	Architecture, Engineering & Construction	11.0%
Banking, Lending & Insurance	24.3%	Agriculture & Animal Services	11.3%
Business Services, HR & Administration	23.1%	Manufacturing & Fabrication	11.7%
Accounting, Advisory & Consulting	22.4%	Wholesale, Distribution & Commercial Supply	12.3%

Sector comparison measure	Results
Highest sector rate	33.4%
Lowest sector rate	10.4%
Absolute sector spread	23.0 percentage points
Highest-to-lowest ratio	3.21 to 1
Sectors above overall rate	9 of 25

Sector heterogeneity was statistically significant in the multilevel regression ($p < 0.001$). The sector values are measured, standardized study estimates calculated from the observed organization audits, with each category stratum weighted equally; they are not population-weighted national benchmarks. Digitally native and marketing-intensive sectors showed higher measured readiness, while sectors that depend more heavily on referrals, legacy websites, or offline reputation showed lower measured readiness. The analysis does not establish the causes of those differences.

Interpretation

Sector position should not be treated as destiny. The readiness score is driven by observable organizational practices. A well structured organization in a low-readiness sector can outperform a poorly structured organization in a high-readiness sector.

INFERENCE

Robustness and Sensitivity Analysis

Primary robustness checks

Sensitivity specification	AI summary rate	Fully adapted	Interpretation
Primary equal-platform analysis	83.7%	17.2%	Reference specification
Exclude brand and reputation queries	82.1%	17.0%	Direction and gap unchanged
Require answer before any external link	78.9%	17.2%	More conservative summary definition
Raise adaptation threshold to 8 of 10	83.7%	11.6%	Stricter readiness definition
Remove critical-failure rule	83.7%	20.4%	Shows effect of minimum technical requirements
Equal sector weighting	83.5%	17.4%	Minimal change from primary result

Confidence and precision

The narrow confidence intervals arise from the large observation counts. They represent sampling precision within the results, not protection against statistical specification error, measurement bias, platform personalization, geography, language, or changes in live product behavior.

Statistical significance is not practical certainty

A p-value below 0.001 indicates that the observed difference would be highly inconsistent with the null hypothesis under the stated sampling assumptions. It does not establish that the assumptions are correct, that the results generalize to all searches, or that the same values would be observed in replication.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation and Strategic Implications

The core structural mismatch

The results depict two systems moving at different speeds. Search environments increasingly synthesize information and make recommendations inside the answer experience. Most organizations, however, still publish content primarily for human browsing and conventional link ranking. The resulting 66.5 percentage-point gap is the central finding of the study.

What full adaptation means operationally

Full adaptation is not defined as installing one schema block, publishing an AI policy page, or adding an FAQ. It requires a coherent system in which the organization is clearly identified, its expertise is explainable, its services and locations are unambiguous, its claims are supported, and independent evidence reinforces the same story.

Potential consequences of low readiness

- Reduced likelihood of being named in AI-generated recommendations and comparisons.
- Greater dependence on third-party summaries that may be incomplete, stale, or inaccurate.
- Difficulty distinguishing a strong organization from a weaker competitor with clearer machine-readable evidence.
- Loss of visibility even when traditional rankings, reviews, or brand awareness remain strong.

Implications for leaders

The findings support a staged response: establish a reliable entity foundation, publish answer-ready expertise, connect claims to evidence, strengthen external corroboration, and maintain the system over time. Organizations should measure adaptation as an operational capability rather than a one-time marketing project.

LIMITATIONS

Limitations, Ethics, and Conclusion

Limitations

- Actual U.S. organizations were sampled within 1,000 organization-type strata. Because each stratum received equal representation, the organizational results are standardized to the study design and are not population-weighted estimates of the distribution of all U.S. organizations.
- The equal-platform composite is not weighted by platform usage, search volume, demographics, or geography.
- Live AI products can vary by account, location, language, device, personalization, experiment group, and date.

Conclusion

Within the study, 83.7% of standardized sessions across Google, ChatGPT, and Gemini produced summarized AI-generated answers, while 17.2% of audited organizations met the full adaptation threshold at the June 2026 endpoint. The observed difference was 66.5 percentage points and remained substantial across the reported sensitivity analyses, indicating a large AI-search readiness gap within the standardized study design.

Suggested citation

Atlas Visibility Research. The AI Search Adaptation Gap: A Cross-Platform Analysis of 1,000 U.S. Organization-Type Strata, January-June 2026. Research Study AV-RS-2026-06. July 22, 2026.

Methodological references

Agresti A. Categorical Data Analysis. 3rd ed. Wiley; 2013.

Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and Psychological Measurement. 1960;20(1):37-46.

Gelman A, Hill J. Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models. Cambridge University Press; 2007.

Wilson EB. Probable inference, the law of succession, and statistical inference. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 1927;22(158):209-212.

APPENDIX A

Detailed Primary Results

Outcome	Numerator	Denominator	Result	99% CI	Test result
Google summarized answer	73,560	120,000	61.3%	60.9% to 61.7%	p < 0.001 vs each assistant
ChatGPT summarized answer	116,160	120,000	96.8%	96.7% to 96.9%	p < 0.001 vs each platform
Gemini summarized answer	111,720	120,000	93.1%	92.9% to 93.3%	p < 0.001 vs each platform
Composite summarized answer	301,440	360,000	83.7%	83.6% to 83.9%	Trend p < 0.001
Fully adapted organization	3,440	20,000	17.2%	16.5% to 17.9%	Trend p < 0.001
At least partially adapted	11,200	20,000	56.0%	55.1% to 56.9%	Descriptive

Monthly search observations

Month	Google positive	ChatGPT positive	Gemini positive	Total positive	Composite rate
Jan	10,000	19,060	18,020	47,080	78.5%
Feb	10,880	19,180	18,260	48,320	80.5%
Mar	11,700	19,280	18,500	49,480	82.5%
Apr	12,700	19,380	18,720	50,800	84.7%
May	13,840	19,480	19,000	52,320	87.2%
Jun	14,440	19,780	19,220	53,440	89.1%

Organization-panel trend

Month	Fully adapted	Panel size	Rate
Jan	1,080	10,000	10.8%
Feb	1,200	10,000	12.0%
Mar	1,330	10,000	13.3%
Apr	1,450	10,000	14.5%
May	1,580	10,000	15.8%
Jun	1,720	10,000	17.2%

APPENDIX B

Study Documentation and Reproducibility

Record	Study element	Completed implementation
1	Taxonomy	The 1,000 organization types across 25 sectors were finalized before analysis; the taxonomy checksum is reported in the study.
2	Organization sampling	Actual U.S. organizations were selected within each stratum; 20 per stratum contributed to the June endpoint.
3	Query protocol	Standardized query templates and intent distributions were applied across Google, ChatGPT, and Gemini.
4	Longitudinal design	A fixed 10,000-organization panel was audited monthly from January through June 2026.
5	Validation sample	Ten additional organizations per stratum formed the June validation sample.
6	Independent coding	2,000 search sessions and 2,000 organization audits were independently duplicate-coded.
7	Reliability	Cohen kappa was 0.93 for search-answer classification and 0.88 for adaptation classification.
8	Statistical analysis	The study used 99% Wilson confidence intervals, chi-square tests, logistic regression, and sensitivity analyses.
9	Standardization	Primary results used equal platform weighting and equal organization-category representation.
10	Audit trail	Appendix C reproduces the exact taxonomy and checksum used to structure the study.

APPENDIX C

Exact Organization-Type Stratification Taxonomy

This appendix reproduces the 1,000 organization types used to define the study's stratification framework. The taxonomy checksum is SHA-256 e5ac48abf507be606de3b26971d72e10cede07095871e211de4171ff557decc2. Inclusion here provides a transparent audit trail between the category taxonomy and the organization-level sampling and analysis.

Primary & Specialty Healthcare

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
1. Primary Care Practices	11. Gastroenterology Practices	501. Allergy Clinics	511. Sports Medicine Clinics
2. Family Medicine Practices	12. Neurology Practices	502. Immunology Practices	512. Podiatry Practices
3. Internal Medicine Practices	13. Urology Practices	503. Infectious Disease Clinics	513. Wound Care Centers
4. Pediatric Practices	14. Endocrinology Practices	504. Hematology Practices	514. Vein Treatment Clinics
5. Urgent Care Centers	15. Rheumatology Practices	505. Vascular Surgery Practices	515. Men's Health Clinics
6. Aesthetic Medicine Practices	16. Pulmonology Practices	506. Plastic Surgery Practices	516. Women's Health Clinics
7. Dermatology Practices	17. Nephrology Practices	507. Neurosurgery Practices	517. Concierge Medical Practices
8. Cardiology Practices	18. Oncology Practices	508. Thoracic Surgery Practices	518. Occupational Health Clinics
9. Orthopedic Practices	19. Pain Management Clinics	509. Colorectal Surgery Clinics	519. Travel Medicine Clinics
10. OB-GYN Practices	20. Ambulatory Surgery Centers	510. Bariatric Surgery Centers	520. House Call Medical Groups

Behavioral Health, Rehabilitation & Senior Care

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
21. Mental Health Clinics	31. Chiropractic Practices	521. Behavioral Health Clinics	531. Couples Counseling Centers
22. Psychiatry Practices	32. Acupuncture Practices	522. Addiction Recovery Homes	532. Child Therapy Practices
23. Psychology Practices	33. Home Health Agencies	523. Detox Centers	533. Vocational Rehab Centers
24. Family Therapy Practices	34. Hospice Providers	524. Sober Living Homes	534. Adult Day Care Centers
25. Addiction Treatment Centers	35. Nonmedical Home Care	525. Crisis Stabilization Centers	535. Senior Living Advisors
26. Eating Disorder Clinics	36. Skilled Nursing Facilities	526. Neurofeedback Clinics	536. Geriatric Care Managers
27. ABA Therapy Providers	37. Assisted Living Communities	527. Art Therapy Practices	537. Respite Care Providers
28. Speech Therapy Practices	38. Memory Care Communities	528. Music Therapy Practices	538. Companion Care Services
29. Occupational Therapy Firms	39. Rehabilitation Hospitals	529. Grief Counseling Practices	539. Mobility Equipment Dealers
30. Physical Therapy Practices	40. Medical Equipment Suppliers	530. Trauma Therapy Practices	540. Prosthetics & Orthotics Labs

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
41. General Dentistry Practices	51. LASIK Surgery Centers	541. Cosmetic Dental Practices	551. Low Vision Clinics
42. Pediatric Dentistry Practices	52. Optical Retailers	542. Sedation Dental Practices	552. Mobile Eye Care Clinics

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
43. Orthodontic Practices	53. Audiology Practices	543. Mobile Dental Clinics	553. Cochlear Implant Centers
44. Oral Surgery Practices	54. Hearing Aid Centers	544. Dental Implant Centers	554. Balance Testing Clinics
45. Periodontic Practices	55. Diagnostic Imaging Centers	545. Denture Clinics	555. Pathology Laboratories
46. Endodontic Practices	56. Clinical Laboratories	546. Dental Hygiene Practices	556. Genetic Testing Labs
47. Prosthodontic Practices	57. Sleep Medicine Clinics	547. Vision Therapy Clinics	557. Toxicology Laboratories
48. Dental Laboratories	58. Dialysis Centers	548. Retina Practices	558. Mobile Imaging Services
49. Optometry Practices	59. Fertility Clinics	549. Cornea Practices	559. Mammography Centers
50. Ophthalmology Practices	60. Infusion Therapy Centers	550. Glaucoma Practices	560. Blood Donation Centers

Dental, Vision, Hearing & Diagnostics

Legal Services

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
61. General Practice Law Firms	71. IP Law Firms	561. Corporate Law Firms	571. Appellate Law Firms
62. Estate Planning Attorneys	72. Tax Law Firms	562. Securities Law Firms	572. Municipal Law Firms
63. Personal Injury Law Firms	73. Civil Litigation Firms	563. Patent Law Firms	573. Education Law Firms
64. Family Law Firms	74. Workers' Comp Law Firms	564. Trademark Law Firms	574. Entertainment Law Firms
65. Criminal Defense Law Firms	75. Disability Law Firms	565. Construction Law Firms	575. Cannabis Law Firms
66. Business Law Firms	76. Elder Law Firms	566. Insurance Defense Firms	576. Aviation Law Firms
67. Employment Law Firms	77. Environmental Law Firms	567. Insurance Claims Law Firms	577. Maritime Law Firms
68. Immigration Law Firms	78. Healthcare Law Firms	568. Medical Malpractice Firms	578. Consumer Rights Law Firms
69. Real Estate Law Firms	79. Mediation Firms	569. Product Liability Law Firms	579. Probate Law Firms
70. Bankruptcy Law Firms	80. Court Reporting Services	570. Class Action Law Firms	580. Legal Document Services

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
81. CPA Firms	91. Wealth Management Firms	581. Cost Accounting Firms	591. Sustainability Consultants
82. Bookkeeping Services	92. Retirement Plan Admins	582. Outsourced Accounting Firms	592. Healthcare Consulting Firms
83. Tax Preparation Firms	93. Actuarial Consulting Firms	583. Controller Service Firms	593. Technology Consulting Firms
84. Payroll Services	94. M&A Advisory Firms	584. Fractional COO Firms	594. Sales Consulting Firms
85. Fractional CFO Firms	95. Management Consulting Firms	585. Fractional CHRO Firms	595. Marketing Consulting Firms
86. Business Valuation Firms	96. Strategy Consulting Firms	586. Fractional CMO Firms	596. Pricing Consulting Firms
87. Forensic Accounting Firms	97. Operations Consulting Firms	587. Turnaround Consulting Firms	597. Supply Chain Consultants
88. Audit and Assurance Firms	98. Procurement Consulting Firms	588. Restructuring Advisors	598. Quality Management Firms
89. Financial Planning Firms	99. Risk Consulting Firms	589. Compliance Consulting Firms	599. Grant Consulting Firms
90. Investment Advisory Firms	100. Economic Consulting Firms	590. Governance Consulting Firms	600. Expert Witness Firms

Accounting, Advisory & Consulting

Banking, Lending & Insurance

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
101. Community Banks	111. Private Equity Firms	601. National Banks	611. Bridge Loan Lenders
102. Credit Unions	112. Insurance Agencies	602. Regional Banks	612. Microfinance Lenders
103. Mortgage Lenders	113. Independent Insurance Firms	603. Online Banks	613. Payment Processing Firms
104. Mortgage Brokerage Firms	114. Employee Benefits Brokerages	604. Savings Banks	614. Fintech Lending Companies
105. Commercial Finance Companies	115. Property & Casualty Insurers	605. Farm Credit Lenders	615. Reinsurance Companies
106. Equipment Financing Companies	116. Life Insurance Companies	606. Auto Finance Companies	616. Captive Insurance Firms
107. Invoice Factoring Companies	117. Health Insurance Companies	607. Consumer Lending Firms	617. Managing General Agencies
108. Merchant Advance Firms	118. Title Insurance Agencies	608. Student Loan Servicers	618. Pet Insurance Companies
109. Private Credit Firms	119. Surety Bond Agencies	609. Debt Consolidation Firms	619. Bail Bond Agencies
110. Venture Capital Firms	120. Claims Adjusting Firms	610. Hard Money Lenders	620. Public Adjusting Firms

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
121. Residential Brokerages	131. Home Inspection Companies	621. Farm Brokerages	631. Senior Housing Operators
122. Commercial Brokerages	132. Land Surveying Firms	622. Land Brokerages	632. Affordable Housing Firms
123. Property Management Companies	133. Title and Escrow Companies	623. Luxury Home Brokerages	633. Build-to-Rent Operators
124. Apartment Managers	134. Real Estate Investment Firms	624. Tenant Representation Firms	634. Industrial Park Operators
125. HOA Management Firms	135. Self-Storage Facilities	625. Site Selection Firms	635. Office Park Operators
126. Real Estate Developers	136. Coworking Space Operators	626. Real Estate Auction Firms	636. Shopping Center Operators
127. Home Builders	137. Mobile Home Park Operators	627. Foreclosure Auction Firms	637. Data Center Developers
128. Custom Home Builders	138. Commercial Property Managers	628. Property Tax Consultants	638. Land Development Firms
129. Manufactured Home Dealers	139. Student Housing Operators	629. Leasing Companies	639. Timeshare Operators
130. Real Estate Appraisal Firms	140. Vacation Rental Managers	630. Corporate Housing Providers	640. Real Estate Syndicators

Real Estate & Property Operations

Architecture, Engineering & Construction

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
141. Architecture Firms	151. Home Remodeling Firms	641. Landscape Architecture Firms	651. Marine Engineering Firms
142. Interior Architecture Firms	152. Roofing Contractors	642. Urban Planning Firms	652. Design-Build Contractors
143. Civil Engineering Firms	153. Concrete Contractors	643. Survey Engineering Firms	653. Construction Managers
144. Structural Engineering Firms	154. Masonry Contractors	644. Transportation Engineers	654. Excavation Contractors
145. Mechanical Engineering Firms	155. Framing Contractors	645. Water Resources Engineers	655. Paving Contractors
146. Electrical Engineering Firms	156. Drywall Contractors	646. Fire Protection Engineers	656. Steel Erection Contractors
147. Geotechnical Engineers	157. Flooring Contractors	647. Acoustical Engineering Firms	657. Millwork Contractors
148. Environmental Engineers	158. Painting Contractors	648. Forensic Engineering Firms	658. Scaffolding Contractors
149. General Contractors	159. Glass and Glazing Contractors	649. Energy Engineering Firms	659. Waterproofing Contractors
150. Commercial Builders	160. Demolition Contractors	650. Mining Engineering Firms	660. Site Work Contractors

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
161. Plumbing Companies	171. Tree Service Companies	661. Appliance Repair Services	671. Sprinkler Repair Services
162. HVAC Contractors	172. Pool Service Companies	662. Handyman Services	672. Home Automation Firms
163. Electrical Contractors	173. Swimming Pool Builders	663. Gutter Installation Firms	673. Home Theater Installers
164. Solar Installation Companies	174. Septic Service Companies	664. Chimney Service Companies	674. Carpet Cleaning Companies
165. Insulation Contractors	175. Water Damage Restorers	665. Foundation Repair Firms	675. Upholstery Cleaning Firms
166. Garage Door Companies	176. Fire Damage Restorers	666. Basement Waterproofers	676. Air Duct Cleaning Firms
167. Locksmith Companies	177. Mold Remediation Companies	667. Siding Contractors	677. Junk Removal Companies
168. Pest Control Companies	178. House Cleaning Companies	668. Fence Contractors	678. Home Organizing Services
169. Lawn Care Companies	179. Window Cleaning Companies	669. Deck Building Companies	679. Holiday Lighting Firms
170. Landscaping Companies	180. Pressure Washing Companies	670. Irrigation Contractors	680. Mobile Home Repair Firms

Home & Building Services

Automotive Sales, Repair & Mobility

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
181. New Car Dealerships	191. Auto Glass Shops	681. Electric Vehicle Dealers	691. Dent Repair Shops
182. Used Car Dealerships	192. Car Audio Shops	682. Exotic Car Dealerships	692. Wheel Repair Shops
183. Motorcycle Dealerships	193. Auto Detailing Businesses	683. Classic Car Dealerships	693. Muffler Shops
184. RV Dealerships	194. Car Washes	684. Boat Dealerships	694. Radiator Repair Shops
185. Auto Repair Shops	195. Towing Companies	685. ATV Dealerships	695. Auto Upholstery Shops
186. Collision Repair Centers	196. Vehicle Wrap Shops	686. Golf Cart Dealerships	696. Car Alarm Installers
187. Tire Shops	197. Automotive Parts Stores	687. Auto Body Shops	697. Automotive Tint Shops
188. Oil Change Centers	198. Fleet Maintenance Companies	688. Diesel Repair Shops	698. Vehicle Inspection Stations
189. Transmission Repair Shops	199. Limousine Services	689. European Auto Shops	699. Emissions Testing Centers
190. Brake Repair Shops	200. Rideshare Fleet Operators	690. Mobile Mechanic Services	700. Motorcycle Repair Shops

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
201. Trucking Companies	211. Air Cargo Companies	701. Rail Freight Companies	711. Medical Courier Services
202. Freight Brokerages	212. Ocean Freight Forwarders	702. Drayage Companies	712. Postal Presort Companies
203. Third-Party Logistics	213. Customs Brokerage Firms	703. Tanker Trucking Firms	713. Container Storage Yards
204. Warehousing Companies	214. Intermodal Carriers	704. Flatbed Trucking Firms	714. Cross-Dock Facilities
205. Fulfillment Centers	215. Bus Charter Companies	705. Refrigerated Carriers	715. Bonded Warehouses
206. Courier Services	216. School Bus Contractors	706. Heavy Haul Carriers	716. Distribution Centers
207. Last-Mile Delivery Companies	217. Medical Transport Providers	707. Auto Transport Companies	717. Port Terminal Operators
208. Moving Companies	218. Car Rental Companies	708. Furniture Delivery Firms	718. Airport Shuttle Services
209. Commercial Storage Companies	219. Private Air Charter Firms	709. White Glove Delivery Firms	719. Taxi Companies
210. Cold Storage Facilities	220. Parking Management Companies	710. Bicycle Courier Services	720. Valet Parking Companies

Transportation, Logistics & Warehousing

General & Specialty Retail

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
221. Grocery Stores	231. Sporting Goods Stores	721. Department Stores	731. Lighting Stores
222. Convenience Stores	232. Outdoor Equipment Stores	722. Discount Stores	732. Plumbing Fixture Stores
223. Pharmacies	233. Pet Supply Stores	723. Dollar Stores	733. Flooring Stores
224. Hardware Stores	234. Florists	724. Warehouse Clubs	734. Garden Centers
225. Home Improvement Stores	235. Gift Shops	725. Liquor Stores	735. Bicycle Shops
226. Furniture Stores	236. Toy Stores	726. Health Food Stores	736. Musical Instrument Stores
227. Mattress Stores	237. Bookstores	727. Beauty Supply Stores	737. Thrift Stores
228. Appliance Stores	238. Jewelry Stores	728. Craft Supply Stores	738. Antique Stores
229. Electronics Stores	239. Clothing Boutiques	729. Fabric Stores	739. Pawn Shops
230. Office Supply Stores	240. Shoe Stores	730. Kitchen Supply Stores	740. Party Supply Stores

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
241. Full-Service Restaurants	251. Breweries	741. Fine Dining Restaurants	751. Tea Shops
242. Fast-Casual Restaurants	252. Wineries	742. Casual Dining Restaurants	752. Cocktail Bars
243. Quick-Service Restaurants	253. Distilleries	743. Pizza Restaurants	753. Sports Bars
244. Coffee Shops	254. Juice Bars	744. Seafood Restaurants	754. Nightclubs
245. Bakeries	255. Smoothie Shops	745. Steakhouse Restaurants	755. Food Halls
246. Dessert Shops	256. Meal Preparation Services	746. Breakfast Restaurants	756. Ghost Kitchens
247. Ice Cream Shops	257. Commercial Kitchens	747. Sandwich Shops	757. Corporate Cafeterias
248. Catering Companies	258. Packaged Food Brands	748. Delicatessens	758. Vending Machine Operators
249. Food Trucks	259. Specialty Food Stores	749. Donut Shops	759. Mobile Bar Services
250. Bars and Pubs	260. Butcher Shops	750. Candy Stores	760. Private Chef Services

Food & Beverage

Hospitality, Events & Travel

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
261. Hotels	271. Wedding Planning Companies	761. Boutique Hotels	771. Bus Tour Companies
262. Motels	272. Wedding Venues	762. Extended Stay Hotels	772. Walking Tour Companies
263. Resorts	273. Conference Centers	763. Hostels	773. Adventure Tour Companies
264. Bed-and-Breakfast Inns	274. Convention Centers	764. Glamping Resorts	774. Corporate Travel Agencies
265. Campgrounds	275. Event Rental Companies	765. Dude Ranches	775. Wedding Rental Firms
266. Recreational Vehicle Parks	276. Party Supply Rental Companies	766. Hunting Lodges	776. Tent Rental Companies
267. Travel Agencies	277. AV Event Producers	767. Ski Lodges	777. Photo Booth Companies
268. Tour Operators	278. Corporate Retreat Providers	768. Cruise Lines	778. Event Staffing Agencies
269. Destination Management Firms	279. Theme Parks	769. River Cruise Companies	779. Ticketing Companies
270. Event Planning Companies	280. Casinos	770. Charter Boat Operators	780. Vacation Club Operators

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
281. K–12 Private Schools	291. Career Colleges	781. Infant Care Centers	791. Massage Schools
282. Preschools	292. Tutoring Centers	782. After-School Programs	792. Flight Schools
283. Daycare Centers	293. Test Preparation Companies	783. Nanny Agencies	793. Maritime Schools
284. Montessori Schools	294. Language Schools	784. Au Pair Agencies	794. Nursing Schools
285. Religious Schools	295. Music Schools	785. Child Enrichment Centers	795. Allied Health Schools
286. Boarding Schools	296. Dance Schools	786. STEM Learning Centers	796. Real Estate Schools
287. Special Education Schools	297. Driving Schools	787. Coding Schools	797. Insurance Schools
288. Microschools	298. Corporate Training Companies	788. Art Schools	798. Business Schools
289. Trade Schools	299. Continuing Ed Providers	789. Culinary Schools	799. Executive Education Firms
290. Vocational Training Centers	300. Online Education Companies	790. Beauty Schools	800. College Prep Consultants

Education & Childcare

Software, Technology & IT Services

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
301. SaaS Companies	311. ERP Consultancies	801. DevOps Consulting Firms	811. Legaltech Companies
302. Mobile App Developers	312. CRM Consultancies	802. Software Testing Firms	812. Fintech Software Firms
303. Custom Software Firms	313. IT Staffing Firms	803. QA Automation Firms	813. E-commerce Platforms
304. Web Development Agencies	314. Computer Repair Shops	804. UX Design Agencies	814. Marketplace Platforms
305. Managed IT Service Providers	315. Data Recovery Services	805. Product Design Firms	815. API Management Companies
306. Cybersecurity Firms	316. Internet Service Providers	806. Blockchain Companies	816. Identity Security Firms
307. Cloud Consulting Firms	317. Telecommunications Companies	807. Robotics Software Firms	817. Network Security Firms
308. Data Analytics Companies	318. Data Centers	808. Healthtech Companies	818. Network Cabling Firms
309. AI Companies	319. Domain Registration Companies	809. Edtech Companies	819. Telecom Resellers
310. BI Consultancies	320. Web Hosting Companies	810. PropTech Companies	820. Database Consulting Firms

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
321. Digital Marketing Agencies	331. Graphic Design Studios	821. Direct Mail Agencies	831. Packaging Design Studios
322. Advertising Agencies	332. Video Production Companies	822. Experiential Agencies	832. Industrial Design Studios
323. Branding Agencies	333. Photography Studios	823. Media Buying Agencies	833. Illustration Studios
324. Public Relations Firms	334. Podcast Production Companies	824. Performance Marketing Firms	834. Copywriting Agencies
325. SEO Agencies	335. Publishing Companies	825. Conversion Rate Agencies	835. Motion Design Studios
326. Paid Media Agencies	336. Magazine Publishers	826. Affiliate Marketing Firms	836. Sound Design Studios
327. Social Media Agencies	337. Newspaper Publishers	827. Reputation Management Firms	837. Postproduction Companies
328. Content Marketing Agencies	338. Radio Stations	828. Political Consulting Firms	838. Casting Agencies
329. Email Marketing Agencies	339. Television Stations	829. Event Marketing Agencies	839. Modeling Agencies
330. Market Research Firms	340. Influencer Agencies	830. Shopper Marketing Agencies	840. Print Production Studios

Marketing, Media & Creative Services

Business Services, HR & Administration

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
341. Staffing Agencies	351. Translation Services	841. Recruitment Process Firms	851. Career Coaching Firms
342. Executive Search Firms	352. Interpretation Services	842. Temporary Staffing Firms	852. Resume Writing Services
343. Professional Employer Orgs	353. Document Shredding Companies	843. Healthcare Staffing Firms	853. Meeting Facilitation Firms
344. HR Consulting Firms	354. Records Management Companies	844. IT Recruiting Firms	854. Corporate Concierge Firms
345. Leadership Development Firms	355. Commercial Printing Companies	845. Sales Recruiting Firms	855. Mailroom Service Companies
346. Business Coaching Firms	356. Sign Companies	846. Diversity Consulting Firms	856. Notary Services
347. Franchise Consulting Firms	357. Background Check Firms	847. Compensation Consulting Firms	857. Process Serving Companies
348. Call Centers	358. Relocation Companies	848. Benefits Admin Firms	858. Licensing Service Firms
349. Answering Services	359. BPO Firms	849. Employee Survey Firms	859. Permit Expediting Firms
350. Virtual Assistant Agencies	360. Collection Agencies	850. Outplacement Services	860. Corporate Filing Services

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
361. Machine Shops	371. Medical Device Manufacturers	861. Aerospace Manufacturers	871. Ceramic Manufacturers
362. Metal Fabrication Companies	372. Pharmaceutical Manufacturers	862. Auto Parts Manufacturers	872. Concrete Product Makers
363. Plastic Manufacturers	373. Cosmetics Manufacturers	863. Battery Manufacturers	873. Wood Product Manufacturers
364. Rubber Products Manufacturers	374. Chemical Manufacturers	864. Semiconductor Manufacturers	874. Mattress Manufacturers
365. Textile Mills	375. Paper Products Manufacturers	865. Sensor Manufacturers	875. Jewelry Manufacturers
366. Apparel Manufacturers	376. Packaging Manufacturers	866. Cable Manufacturers	876. Toy Manufacturers
367. Furniture Manufacturers	377. Building Material Makers	867. Lighting Manufacturers	877. Sporting Goods Makers
368. Cabinet Manufacturers	378. Food Processing Plants	868. Tool Manufacturers	878. Farm Equipment Makers
369. Electronics Manufacturers	379. Beverage Manufacturers	869. Fastener Manufacturers	879. Trailer Manufacturers
370. Electrical Manufacturers	380. Contract Manufacturers	870. Glass Manufacturers	880. Modular Building Makers

Manufacturing & Fabrication

Wholesale, Distribution & Commercial Supply

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
381. Industrial Distributors	391. Foodservice Distributors	881. Grocery Wholesalers	891. Apparel Wholesalers
382. Electrical Distributors	392. Beverage Distributors	882. Seafood Wholesalers	892. Footwear Wholesalers
383. Plumbing Supply Distributors	393. Produce Distributors	883. Meat Wholesalers	893. Furniture Wholesalers
384. HVAC Supply Distributors	394. Automotive Parts Distributors	884. Dairy Distributors	894. Electronics Distributors
385. Building Material Dealers	395. Janitorial Distributors	885. Frozen Food Distributors	895. Computer Parts Distributors
386. Lumber Yards	396. Office Furniture Dealers	886. Bakery Supply Distributors	896. Chemical Distributors
387. Restaurant Suppliers	397. Packaging Supply Distributors	887. Coffee Distributors	897. Plastics Distributors
388. Medical Supply Distributors	398. Safety Equipment Distributors	888. Wine Distributors	898. Steel Distributors
389. Dental Supply Distributors	399. Lab Supply Distributors	889. Beer Distributors	899. Fastener Distributors
390. Pharmaceutical Wholesalers	400. Farm Supply Distributors	890. Beauty Supply Distributors	900. Irrigation Supply Dealers

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
401. Crop Farms	411. Vineyards	901. Wheat Farms	911. Horse Farms
402. Dairy Farms	412. Aquaculture Farms	902. Corn Farms	912. Sheep Farms
403. Cattle Ranches	413. Agricultural Cooperatives	903. Soybean Farms	913. Goat Farms
404. Poultry Farms	414. Farm Management Companies	904. Cotton Farms	914. Fish Hatcheries
405. Hog Farms	415. Veterinary Practices	905. Rice Farms	915. Feed Mills
406. Greenhouses	416. Emergency Vet Hospitals	906. Vegetable Farms	916. Grain Elevators
407. Plant Nurseries	417. Pet Grooming Businesses	907. Berry Farms	917. Farm Equipment Dealers
408. Sod Farms	418. Pet Boarding Facilities	908. Citrus Groves	918. Animal Feed Stores
409. Tree Farms	419. Dog Training Companies	909. Mushroom Farms	919. Mobile Veterinary Clinics
410. Orchards	420. Pet Sitting Services	910. Beekeeping Operations	920. Equine Veterinary Practices

Agriculture & Animal Services

Personal Care, Fitness & Wellness

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
421. Hair Salons	431. Boutique Fitness Studios	921. Blow Dry Bars	931. Cycling Studios
422. Barbershops	432. Yoga Studios	922. Eyebrow Studios	932. Boxing Gyms
423. Nail Salons	433. Pilates Studios	923. Eyelash Studios	933. Functional Fitness Gyms
424. Day Spas	434. Martial Arts Schools	924. Waxing Studios	934. Rowing Studios
425. Medical Spas	435. Personal Training Studios	925. Skin Care Clinics	935. Dance Fitness Studios
426. Massage Therapy Practices	436. Nutrition Counselors	926. Spray Tan Studios	936. Stretch Therapy Studios
427. Tanning Salons	437. Weight Management Clinics	927. Sauna Studios	937. Recovery Wellness Centers
428. Tattoo Studios	438. Wellness Coaching Practices	928. Meditation Centers	938. Health Coaching Practices
429. Piercing Studios	439. Float Therapy Centers	929. Breathwork Studios	939. Life Coaching Practices
430. Fitness Centers	440. Cryotherapy Centers	930. Barre Studios	940. Doula Services

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
441. Golf Courses	451. Miniature Golf Courses	941. Soccer Clubs	951. Laser Tag Centers
442. Country Clubs	452. Batting Cage Facilities	942. Baseball Clubs	952. Go-Kart Tracks
443. Tennis Clubs	453. Shooting Ranges	943. Basketball Clubs	953. Water Parks
444. Pickleball Facilities	454. Hunting & Fishing Guides	944. Volleyball Clubs	954. Amusement Parks
445. Bowling Centers	455. Marinas	945. Swim Clubs	955. Fishing Charters
446. Skating Rinks	456. Boat Rental Companies	946. Gymnastics Centers	956. Scuba Diving Centers
447. Trampoline Parks	457. Bicycle Rental Companies	947. Cheerleading Gyms	957. Surf Schools
448. Indoor Climbing Gyms	458. Ski Resorts	948. Fencing Clubs	958. Kayak Rental Companies
449. Escape Room Businesses	459. Summer Camps	949. Archery Ranges	959. Horseback Riding Centers
450. Arcades	460. Sports Tournament Operators	950. Paintball Parks	960. Recreational Leagues

Sports, Recreation & Leisure

Arts, Entertainment & Cultural Organizations

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
461. Movie Theaters	471. Game Development Studios	961. Opera Companies	971. Exhibition Producers
462. Live Music Venues	472. Museums	962. Symphony Orchestras	972. Festival Producers
463. Performing Arts Theaters	473. Art Galleries	963. Ballet Companies	973. Art Fair Operators
464. Concert Promoters	474. Auction Houses	964. Community Theaters	974. Craft Fair Operators
465. Talent Agencies	475. Performing Arts Companies	965. Dinner Theaters	975. Literary Agencies
466. Artist Management Companies	476. Dance Companies	966. Improv Theaters	976. Book Packagers
467. Recording Studios	477. Comedy Clubs	967. Puppet Theaters	977. Music Publishers
468. Music Production Companies	478. Children's Fun Centers	968. Magic Shows	978. Record Labels
469. Film Production Companies	479. Mobile DJ Companies	969. Circus Companies	979. Voiceover Studios
470. Animation Studios	480. Touring Entertainment Firms	970. Theme Entertainment Firms	980. Costume Rental Companies

Organization type	Organization type	Organization type	Organization type
481. Electric Utility Companies	491. Environmental Consultants	981. Hydroelectric Operators	991. Composting Companies
482. Natural Gas Utility Companies	492. Commercial Cleaning Companies	982. Biomass Energy Companies	992. E-Waste Recycling Firms
483. Renewable Energy Developers	493. Janitorial Services	983. Geothermal Energy Firms	993. Scrap Metal Recyclers
484. Solar Energy Developers	494. Facilities Managers	984. Battery Storage Developers	994. Oil Recycling Companies
485. Wind Energy Developers	495. Security Guard Companies	985. EV Charging Operators	995. Asbestos Abatement Firms
486. Oil and Gas Producers	496. Alarm Installation Companies	986. Energy Efficiency Firms	996. Lead Abatement Firms
487. Propane Distributors	497. Fire Protection Companies	987. Energy Auditing Firms	997. Indoor Air Quality Firms
488. Waste Collection Companies	498. Elevator Service Companies	988. Utility Billing Services	998. Access Control Companies
489. Recycling Companies	499. Commercial Laundry Services	989. Water Utility Companies	999. Security Camera Installers
490. Hazardous Waste Firms	500. Uniform Rental Companies	990. Wastewater Utility Firms	1000. Fire Alarm Companies

Energy, Environment, Facilities & Security